



2024





Adult Occupant







Child Occupant



Vulnerable Road Users







Safety Assist

69%

SPECIFICATION

Tested Model	Hyundai Santa Fe HEV AWD, LHD
Body Type	- 5 door SUV
Year Of Publication	2024
Kerb Weight	2050kg
VIN From Which Rating Applies	- all Hyundai Santa Fe
Class	Large SUV



SAFETY EQUIPMENT

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
FRONTAL CRASH PROTECTION			
Frontal airbag	•	•	_
Belt pretensioner	•	•	•
Belt loadlimiter	•	•	•
Knee airbag	•	×	_
LATERAL CRASH PROTECTION			
Side head airbag	•	•	•
Side chest airbag	•	•	•
Side pelvis airbag	•	•	×
Centre Airbag	•	×	_

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
CHILD PROTECTION			
Isofix/i-Size	_	×	•
Integrated CRS	_	×	×
Airbag cut-off switch	_	•	_
Child presence detection	_	×	•
SAFETY ASSIST			
Seat Belt Reminder	•	•	•



SAFETY EQUIPMENT (NEXT)

OTHER SYSTEMS	
Active Bonnet	×
AEB Vulnerable Road Users	
AEB Pedestrian - Reverse	0
Cyclist Dooring Prevention	
AEB Motorcyclist	
AEB Car-to-Car	
Speed Assistance	
Lane Assist System	•
Fatigue / Distraction Detection	

Note: Other equipment may be available on the vehicle but was not considered in the test year.


Fitted to the vehicle as standard	Fitted to the vehicle as part of the safety page.	ack


O Not fitted to the test vehicle but available as option or as part of the safety pack X Not available — Not applicable






Total 34.0 Pts / 84%









ADULT OCCUPANT

Total 34.0 Pts / 84%

GOOD ADEQUATE	MARGINAL WEAK POOR
Rescue and Extrication	2.7 / 4 Pts
Rescue Sheet	Available, ISO compliant
Advanced eCall	Available
Multi Collision Brake	Available
Submergence Check	Compliant

Comments

The passenger compartment of the Hyundai Santa Fe remained stable in the frontal offset test. Dummy readings indicated good protection of the knees and femurs for the driver and front passenger. Hyundai demonstrated that a similar level of protection would be provided to occupants of different sizes and to those sitting in different positions. Protection of the driver's chest was rated as marginal, based on dummy readings of compression. Analysis of the deceleration of the impact trolley during the test, and analysis of the deformable barrier after the test, revealed that the Hyundai Santa Fe would be a moderately benign impact partner in a frontal collision. In the full-width rigid barrier test, protection was good for all critical body regions of the driver and good or adequate for the rear passenger. In the side barrier test, full points were scored. In the more severe side pole impact, protection of the chest was marginal but that of other body areas was good or adequate. Control of excursion (the extent to which a body is thrown to the other side of the vehicle when it is hit from the far side) was found to be adequate. The Hyundai Santa Fe has a countermeasure to mitigate against occupant-to-occupant injuries in such impacts. The airbag performed well in Euro NCAP's tests with dummy readings indicating good protection for both the driver and passenger. Tests on the front seats and head restraints demonstrated good protection against whiplash injuries in the event of a rear-end collision. A geometric analysis of the rear seats indicated marginal whiplash protection. The car has an advanced eCall system which alerts the emergency services in the event of a crash, and a system to prevent secondary impacts after the car has been in a collision. Hyundai demonstrated that the doors and windows would be openable to allow occupants to escape in the event of vehicle submergence.



Total 43.2 Pts / 88%

GOOD **ADEQUATE** MARGINAL WEAK POOR

Crash Test Performance based on 6 & 10 year old children

24.0 / 24 Pts





Restraint for 6 year old child: CYBEX Solution T i-Fix Restraint for 10 year old child: Peg Perego Viaggio 2-3 Shuttle

7.3 / 13 Pts Safety Features

	Front Passenger	2nd row outboard	2nd row center	3rd row outboard *
Isofix	×	•	•	•
i-Size	×	•	•	•
Integrated CRS	×	×	×	×
Top tether	×	•	•	•
Child Presence Detection	×	•	•	•

* Third row seats available as option

Fitted to test car as standard Not on test car but available as option X Not available

12.0 / 12 Pts **CRS Installation Check**

i-Size	Seat Position								
	Fro	ront 2nd row				Front		3r	d row
		⊗ * ⁄ ₂	Left	center	Right	Left	Right		
	_	_	•	_	•	•	•		











CHILD OCCUPANT

Total 43.2 Pts / 88%

& Isofix	Seat Position						
	Fre	Front		2nd row		3rd	d row
		⊗ .∕.2	Left	center	Right	Left	Right
E	_	_	•	_	•	•	•
	_	_	•	_	•	•	•
K	_	_	•	_	•	•	•
Ŀ	_	_	•	_	•	•	•
	_	_	•	_	•	•	•
	_	_	•	_	•	•	•

Easy

Difficult

Safety critical

× Not allowed

Airbag ON Rearward facing restraint installation not allowed

⊗∴ Airbag OFF

Seatbelt Attached	Seat Position							
	Fro	ont		2nd row			3rd row	
		⊗ × 12	Left	center	Right	Left	Right	
	×	•	•	•	•	•	•	
	×	•	•	•	•	•	•	
E	×	•	•	•	•	•	•	
K	×	•	•	•	•	•	•	
	×	•	•	•	•	•	•	
	×	•	•	•	•	•	•	

Easy

Difficult

Safety critical

★ Not allowed

Airbag ON Rearward facing restraint installation not allowed

🎇 Airbag OFF





Total 43.2 Pts / 88%

Comments

In both the frontal offset test and the more severe side pole impact, protection of all critical parts of the body was good for the 6 and 10 year dummy, and the Hyundai Santa Fe scored maximum points in this part of the assessment. The front passenger airbag can be disabled to allow a rearward-facing child restraint to be used in that seating position. Clear information is provided to the driver regarding the status of the airbag, and the system was rewarded. The Santa Fe is equipped with an indirect 'child presence detection' system, which issues a warning when it recognises that a child or infant may have been left in the car. All of the child restraint types for which the Hyundai Santa Fe is designed could be properly installed and accommodated in the car.



🚶 VULNERABLE ROAD USERS

Total 44.5 Pts / 70%

GOOD	ADEQUATE	MARGINAL	WEAK	POOR	

VRU Impact Protection

26.1 / 36 Pts



Pedestrian & Cyclist Head	12.1 Pts
Pelvis	3.3 Pts
Femur	4.5 Pts
Knee & Tibia	6.2 Pts

VRU Impact Mitigation 18.4 / 27 Pts

System Name	Forward Collision-Avoidance Assist (FCA)
Туре	Auto-Brake with Forward Collision Warning
Operational From	5 km/h
PERFORMANCE	

AEB Pedestrian 5.5 / 9 Pts

Scenario	Day time	Night time
Car reversing into adult or child		_
Adult crossing a road into which a car is turning		_
Adult crossing the road		
Child running from behind parked vehicles		
Adult along the roadside		

Currently not tested

AEB Cyclist 5.2 / 8 Pts

Scenario	Day time
Approaching cyclist crossing from behind parked vehicles	
Turning across path of an oncoming cyclist	
Approaching a crossing cyclist	
Approaching a cyclist along the roadside	



🚶 VULNERABLE ROAD USERS

Total 44.5 Pts / 70%

GOOD	ADEQUATE	MARGINAL	WEAK	POOR	

Cyclist Dooring Prevention

0.0 / 1 Pts

Scenario	
Dooring a passing cyclist	

AEB Motorcyclist

5.7 / 6 Pts

Scenario	Autobrake function only	Driver reacts to warning
Approaching a stationary motorcyclist		
Approaching a braking motorcyclist		
Turn across the path of an oncoming motorcyclist		_

Currently not tested

Lane Support Motorcyclist

2.0 / 3 Pts

Scenario	Day time
Changing lane across the path of an oncoming motorcyclist	
Changing lane across the path of an overtaking motorcyclist	

Comments

Protection of the head of a struck pedestrian or cyclist was predominantly good or adequate, with poor results recorded on the stiff windscreen pillars and at the edges of the bonnet. Protection of the pelvis was mixed. Protection of the femur was good at all test locations while that of the knee and tibia ranged from good to poor. The autonomous emergency braking (AEB) system of the Hyundai can respond to vulnerable road users as well as to other vehicles. The system's response both to pedestrians was adequate but, with standard equipment, there was no protection of pedestrians to the rear of the car. The system's performance in tests of its reaction to cyclists was also adequate, but lacked protection against 'dooring', where a door is suddenly opened in the path of a cyclist approaching from behind. Performance of the AEB system was good in tests of its response to motorcyclists.

Fatigue

Distraction

Drowsiness, Microsleep and Sleep

Long and Short Distraction



Total 12.5 Pts / 69%

2.5 / 3 Pts
ĺ

System Name	Lane Keeping Assist (LKA)
Туре	LKA and ELK
Operational From	55 km/h
PERFORMANCE	
Emergency Lane Keeping	GOOD
Lane Keep Assist	GOOD

AEB Car-to-Car 5.5 / 9 Pts

System Name	Forward Collision-Avoidance Assist (FCA)
Туре	Autonomous emergency braking and forward collision warning
Operational From	5 km/h
Sensor Used	camera and radar

Scenario	Autobrake function only	Driver reacts to warning
Approaching a car crossing a junction		
Approaching a car head-on		_
Turning across the path of an oncoming car		_
Approaching a stationary car		
Approaching a slower moving car		_
Approaching a braking car		_

Currently not tested





Total 12.5 Pts / 69%

Comments

Overall, the performance of the autonomous emergency braking (AEB) system was adequate in tests of its reaction to other vehicles, with impacts being avoided in many tests. A seatbelt reminder system is fitted as standard to the front and rear seats. The car has a direct driver status monitoring system as standard, detecting driver fatigue and several types of distraction. The lane support system gently corrects the vehicle's path if it is drifting out of lane and also intervenes in some more critical situations. The speed assistance system identifies the local speed limit. The driver can choose to allow the limiter to be set automatically by the system.



RATING VALIDITY

Variants of Model Range

Body Type	Engine & Transmission	Model Name/Code	Drivetrain	Rating Applies	
				LHD	RHD
5 door SUV	Hybrid	text	4 x 4 *	✓	✓
5 door SUV	Hybrid	text	4 x 2	✓	✓
5 door SUV	Plug-in Hybrid	text	4 x 4	✓	✓

Annual Reviews and Facelifts

Date	Event	Outcome	
December 2024	Rating Published	2024 ★ ★ ★ ☆ ☆	✓

^{*} Tested variant